



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Handwritten mark: a stylized 'C' with a checkmark inside.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/715,069	11/20/2000	Hermann Kuenzner	951/49289	8872

7590 10/30/2006
CROWELL & MORING LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP
P.O. BOX 14300
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300

EXAMINER

VU, KIEU D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2173

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/715,069	KUENZNER, HERMANN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kieu D. Vu	2173	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kieu D. Vu. (3) _____

(2) Jonathan Lindsay. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Menig et al (US 6289332).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion on the claim language "third set of information" and Menig (Fig. 9, col 12).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in-view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

3 Park Plaza, 20th Floor, Irvine, CA 92614 • p949 263-8400 • f949 263-8414

**FACSIMILE COVER PAGE**

Deliver to: YU, Kieu D. Art Group: 2173
Facsimile No.: (571) 273-4057 Date: 10/20/2006
From: Jonathan M. Lindsay Reg. No. 45,810
Our Docket No.: 080437.49289 Number of pages 2 including this sheet
Application No.: 09/715.069 Filing Date: 11/20/2000
User ID: 6075/jmh Docket Due Date(s) NONE

Enclosed are the following documents:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment: (____ pgs) | <input type="checkbox"/> Issue Fee Transmittal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Brief (in triplicate) (____ pgs) | <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Appeal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Application: _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Petition for: _____ |
| (____ pgs w/cover & abstract) | <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Continued Examination (RCE) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Assignment & Cover Sheet (____ pgs) | <input type="checkbox"/> Reply Brief (____ pgs) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Facsimile | <input type="checkbox"/> Request and Certification Under 35 USC 122(b)(2)(B)(i) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) | <input type="checkbox"/> Request to Rescind Previous Nonpublication Request |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration & POA (____ pgs) | <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Notice of Missing Parts & Formalities Letter |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drawings: _____ sheets, _____ figures | <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Written Opinion (____ pgs) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time: | <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal (in duplicate) | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmittal of Publication Fee Due |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IDS & PTO/SB/08 (____ pgs) | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmittal Letter |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>Proposed Agenda for Telephonic Interview</u> | |

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and trademark Office.


Jay Harris

10/20/2006
Date

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL TO WHOM, OR ENTITY TO WHICH, IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW.

If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (collect), and return the original message to us at the address listed above via the U.S. Postal Service.

2877799

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 09/715,069
Applicant : Hermann KUENZNER
Filed : November 20, 2000
TC/A.U. : 2173
Examiner : Kieu D. Vu

Confirmation No. 8872

Docket No. : 080437.49289
Customer No. : 23911


PROPOSED AGENDA FOR TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW

Pursuant to the telephone conversation between Examiner Vu and the undersigned, Applicant proposes to conduct a telephone interview on Thursday October 26, 2006, at 2:00 p.m. (EST). During the telephonic interview the Applicant wishes to discuss the rejection of claims 1 - 8 as being unpatentable over Ghitea and Menig. In particular, the Applicant would like to discuss the Examiner's position that Menig "an integrated message display system for a vehicle wherein two sets of information are displayed at the same place alternating with the same type of graphic wherein a graphical difference between said at least two sets of information is representative of a third set of information." Applicant would like to discuss how the graphical difference between screens 908 and 910 may be representative of a third set of information, as recited in the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

CROWELL & MORING LLP

Dated: October 20, 2006

By 
Jonathan M. Lindsay
Reg. No. 45,810
Tel.: (949) 263-8400 (Pacific Coast)

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, D.C. 20044-4300